UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/520,545 | 01/06/2005 | Samantha Champ | 29827/40251 | 9288 |
| | 7590 12/05/200° GERSTEIN & BORUN | | EXAMINER | |
| 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 | | | ZEMEL, IRINA SOPJIA | |
| SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1796 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/05/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/520,545 | CHAMP ET AL. | | | |
| O | ffice Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Irina S. Zemel | 1796 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Resp | onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 O</u> | <u>ctober 2007</u> . | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of | Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Clain | n(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| , · | n(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Coo the attached detailed embe detail for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| | eferences Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| 3) Information | raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) //Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either one of WO 99/44648 to BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, (hereinafter "BASF '648") or WO 00/52087 to BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, *hereinafter "BASF '087") in combination with US PGPub 2002/0082311 to Dietzen et al., (hereinafter "Dietzen").

The disclosures of both BASF references are discussed in detail in the previous office action. The references, as discussed in the previous office action, disclose hygiene articles formed from a water absorbent foams open-celled crosslinked acid-functional addition polymer foam which are surface treated with silicon dioxide. The references further disclosed the foams that are prepared by the method that fully correspond to the claimed steps (a)- (c) (see abstracts and the examples).

The references do not disclose, a pointed out in the applicants response, treatment of the foam surface with additional amount of surfactant. Treating a surface of an open cell foam to render it even more hydrophilic and more absorbemt with additional surfactant would have been obvious from the teachings of Dietzen, who expressly discloses that a surface of an open cell foam can be treated with hydrophylizing surfactants to provide an additional layer that facilitates liquid absorption

Application/Control Number:

10/520,545

Art Unit: 1796

of the foams. Thus, it would have been obvious to treat the surface of foams disclosed in BASF references with an additional portion of surfactant to provide the foams with additional absorbent layer thus improving on liquid absorption properties of the final product.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. It is noted that the scope of the claims was changed by the amendments of the claims that , as amended, necessarily require surface treatment of the foams with a surfactant, which previously, was an optional element. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10/520,545 Art Unit: 1796

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irina S. Zemel whose telephone number is (571)272-0577. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571)272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Irina S. Zemel Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

naferme

ISZ